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What is the new policy?

On July 15, 2019, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and Department of Justice (DOJ) announced that it would issue an interim final rule affecting asylum seekers at the southern border of the United States. This policy adds a bar to asylum for individuals who enter or attempt to enter across the southern border, if they did not seek protection from a third country while en route to the United States. The rule will be added to the regulatory framework that governs asylum seekers at the border.

What is the scope of the interim final rule?

The effective date of the rule is July 16, 2019 and will be invoked by asylum officers in DHS and immigration judges in DOJ. The rule does not impact two related forms of relief known as withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture. These forms of relief are narrower and without the same benefits of asylum protection.

What legal authority is the administration relying upon to issue the interim final rule?

The interim final rule points to sections in the immigration statute known as the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). Two of these sections are summarized below.

- **INA § 208(d)(5)(B)** states that “[t]he Attorney General may provide by regulation for any other conditions or limitations on the consideration of an application for asylum not inconsistent with this Act.”
- **INA § 208(b)(2)(C)** states that the “Attorney General may by regulation establish additional limitations and conditions, consistent with this section, under which an alien shall be ineligible for asylum under paragraph (1).”

Has the administration invoked these immigration statutory sections before?

Yes. Last November, DOJ and DHS issued a joint interim final rule to limit asylum to those who arrive at a place other than a port of entry and in doing so, invoked various sections of the immigration statute, including those outlined above. That rule was successfully challenged in the courts.

The goal of this document is to provide general information and is not meant to act as a substitute to legal advice from an attorney.
What are the legal concerns with the interim final rule?

There is a concern that the interim final rule violates the immigration statute and other laws. While the interim final rule identifies some sections of the immigration statute, these sections cannot be read in isolation to the statute as a whole, nor can it conflict with the U.S. Constitution, statutes and other laws. To illustrate, Congress has set a framework for asylum seekers who pass through another country before arriving in the United States through doctrines known as “firm resettlement” and “safe third country.” The interim rule exceeds this framework and in doing so may violate the INA. Further, INA § 208 states that any person physically present in the United States, regardless of how or where they entered is eligible to apply for asylum. The section states in part, “Any alien . . . who arrives in the United States (whether or not at a designated port of arrival and including an alien who is brought to the United States after having been interdicted in international or United States waters) irrespective of such alien's status, may apply for asylum . . . .” (emphasis added)

Why is the administration issuing these policies?

The position of the government is that the policy will aid in “deterring meritless asylum claims and de-prioritizing the applications of individuals who could have obtained protection in another country.” The government has also indicated that the policy will reduce the number of people crossing the border “without an urgent or genuine need for asylum.” Finally, the government believes the new rule will improve foreign relations between the United States and other nations on migration issue.

What are some of the countervailing views to the administration’s position taken by some refugee advocates and scholars?

Many asylum seekers arriving at the southern border are from the Northern Triangle which is comprised of Guatemala, El Salvador and Honduras. The violence and danger in these countries is well documented. Further, the dangerous conditions in Mexico is well documented. Individuals who have suffered or will suffer individual harm for a specific reason are eligible to apply for asylum under the immigration statute and other laws. Many asylum claims made by those arriving from the Northern Triangle are with merit.

What is an “Interim Final Rule”?

An Interim Final Rule becomes effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register and is an exception to the general rule that public notice and comment must take place before the effective date of a regulation. DOJ and DHS have concluded that a “good cause” exception exists to publish this asylum regulation as an interim final rule because “this rule is essential to avoid a surge of aliens.” Alternatively, the Departments have invoked the “foreign affairs exception” tying the flow of noncitizens to the southern border to national security and foreign policy interests of the United States. Written comments can be submitted by the public for a period of thirty days from the date of publication.
What comes next?

Litigation challenging this rule is possible. Possible challenges could include that the government has violated the immigration statute, the Administrative Procedure Act or international laws.

Where can I find more resources?

See the Penn State Law Center for Immigrants’ Rights Clinic website for updates on this and other immigration policies. Also visit:

- Department of Homeland Security
- American Immigration Lawyers Association
- American Immigration Council
- Human Rights First

*The goal of this document is to provide general information and is not meant to act as a substitute to legal advice from an attorney.*